

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 GEORGI BLAZHEV GARKOV,

10 Plaintiff,

Case No. C25-90-JHC

11 v.

ORDER

12 THE PENTAGON, *et al.*,

Defendants.

13
14 Plaintiff has filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”) in the above-
15 entitled action. (Dkt. # 1.) After the Court issued an order to show cause why Plaintiff’s
16 application should not be denied (dkt. # 3), Plaintiff submitted a letter further clarifying his
17 financial situation. (Dkt. # 4.) Based on Plaintiff’s IFP application as clarified, Plaintiff does not
18 appear to have funds available to afford the \$405.00 filing fee. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s
19 application to proceed IFP (dkt. # 1) is GRANTED. Plaintiff shall note that leave to proceed as a
20 pauper does not necessarily entitle Plaintiff to a waiver of any other cost(s) of litigation.

21 The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff and to the Honorable John
22 H. Chun.

23 //

1 Dated this 5th day of March, 2025.

2 
3

4 MICHELLE L. PETERSON
5 United States Magistrate Judge
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23